

Three language extension mechanisms for map calculus

Authors:

Pasquale Caianiello

Stefania Costantini

Eugenio G. Omodeo

— Università di L'Aquila

Dipartimento di Informatica

Presented by: Andrea Formisano

— Università di Perugia

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica

APPIA—GULP—PRODE 2002

Madrid, 16-18 sep 2002

Research related to COST action n.274 (TARSKI) <http://tarski.org>
and to MURST / MIUR 40% : *Aggregate- and number-reasoning* ...

A platform for map reasoning

Map calculus is a classical form of algebraic logic, admittedly not very readable, but perhaps better suited for automatic reasoning than more advanced systems of logic

- it is *devoid of variables*, and
- merely *equational*

A *platform* supporting map calculus will include, among others:

- *Proof methods* (current experimentation relies on *Otter*)
- *Translators* of man-oriented formalisms into map calculus

Translation platform component: *MetaMorpho*

Two approaches — two subcomponents

- Rewriting rules — *KataMorpho*

This approach was exploited, e.g., to translate 1st-order sentences in 3 variables into map calculus

- Definitional extensions — *AnaMorpho*

Three such mechanisms will be illustrated through examples in what follows

Roughly speaking, KataMorpho proceeds downwards, and AnaMorpho proceeds upwards

Both components are *generic*, because we are aware that map calculus is the first, not necessarily the best language for algebraic logic

(Cf. Haskell-based platform for heterogeneous relation algebras by Kahl and Schmidt)

An analog of map calculus — to convey the idea simply

-- primitive operators for regular expressions:

P^*	\equiv	P^*	-- Kleene star-operator
$P \cup Q$	\equiv	$P \cup Q$	-- union
$P \circ Q$	\equiv	$P \circ Q$	-- concatenation
0	\equiv	0	-- void language

-- aliases and derived operators / relators:

\emptyset	\equiv	0
ι	\equiv	\emptyset^*
P^+	\equiv	$P \circ P^*$

$$P \subseteq Q \leftrightarrow P \cup Q = Q$$

$\cup([])$	\equiv	\emptyset
$\cup([P Q])$	\equiv	$P \cup \cup(Q)$

-- useful templates:

semiGroup(P) Θ : [
 $P(P(Q, R), S) = P(Q, P(R, S))]$
 -- : **associative law**

leftMonoid(P, Q) Θ : [
 semiGroup(P),
 $P(Q, R) = R]$
 -- : **left monoid**

monoid(P, Q) Θ : [
 leftMonoid(P, Q),
 $P(R, Q) = R]$
 -- : **bilateral monoid**

commMonoid(P, Q) Θ : [
 leftMonoid(P, Q),
 $P(R, S) = P(S, R)]$
 -- : **commutative monoid**

leftDistributes(P, Q) Θ : [
 $P(R, Q(S, T)) = Q(P(R, S), P(R, T))]$
 -- : **left distributive law**

etc.

-- logical axioms ...

commMonoid(\cup , \emptyset)

$P \cup P = P$

-- : idempotence

monoid(\circ , ι)

$_ \circ \emptyset = \emptyset$

$\emptyset \circ _ = \emptyset$

leftDistributes(\circ , \cup)

rightDistributes(\circ , \cup)

$\iota \cup P \circ P^* = P^*$

$(\iota \cup P)^* = P^*$

-- ... a proper axiom ...

$P \subseteq (\cup([s_1, s_2, s_3]))^*$

-- ... and an inference rule

$[P \cup Q \circ R = Q] \Rightarrow P \circ R^* = Q$

-- logical axioms as internally expanded:

$$P \cup Q \cup R = P \cup (Q \cup R)$$

$$\emptyset \cup P = P$$

$$P \cup Q = Q \cup P$$

$$P \cup P = P$$

$$P \circ Q \circ R = P \circ (Q \circ R)$$

$$\iota \circ P = P$$

$$P \circ \iota = P$$

$$_ \circ \emptyset = \emptyset$$

$$\emptyset \circ _ = \emptyset$$

$$P \circ (Q \cup R) = P \circ Q \cup P \circ R$$

$$(P \cup Q) \circ R = P \circ R \cup Q \circ R$$

$$\iota \cup P \circ P^* = P^*$$

$$(\iota \cup P)^* = P^*$$

$$[P \cup Q \circ R = Q] \Rightarrow P \circ R^* = Q$$

Map calculus – syntax

-- Primitive constructors of map expressions:

ι	$=:$	ι	-- diagonal map
\overline{P}	$=:$	\overline{P}	-- Boolean complementation
P^\sim	$=:$	P^\sim	-- Peircean operation of forming the converse
$P \cup Q$	$=:$	$P \cup Q$	-- Boolean join
$P \circ Q$	$=:$	$P \circ Q$	-- Peircean map-composition

-- Secondary constructors of map expressions:

δ	$=:$	$\bar{\iota}$	-- difference map
$\mathbb{1}$	$=:$	$\iota \cup \delta$	-- top
\emptyset	$=:$	$\overline{\mathbb{1}}$	-- bottom
$P \cap Q$	$=:$	$\overline{P \cup Q}$	-- Boolean meet

-- Further Boolean operators:

$P - Q$	$=:$	$P \cap \overline{Q}$	-- map difference
$P \Delta Q$	$=:$	$\overline{P \cup Q} \cup \overline{Q \cup P}$	-- symmetric map difference

Map calculus – logical axioms

-- Boolean axioms (Huntington–Robbins, 1933/1934):

$$P \cup Q = Q \cup P$$

$$\text{semiGroup}(\cup)$$

$$(P \cup Q) \cap (P \cup \bar{Q}) = P$$

-- associative law, and unit element, for map composition:

$$\text{rightMonoid}(\circ, \iota)$$

-- distributivity of composition over union:

$$\text{rightDistributes}(\circ, \cup)$$

-- convolutorily laws:

$$P^{\sim\sim} = P$$

$$(P \cup Q)^{\sim} = P^{\sim} \cup Q^{\sim}$$

$$(P \circ Q)^{\sim} = Q^{\sim} \circ P^{\sim}$$

-- Schröder's cycle inference rule:

$$[P \circ Q \cap R = \emptyset] \Rightarrow P^{\sim} \circ R \cap Q = \emptyset$$

Map calculus – further derived constructs

$P \subseteq Q$	$\leftrightarrow:$	$\emptyset = P - Q$
$rA(P)$	$=:$	$P \circ \mathbf{1}$
$Tot(P)$	$\leftrightarrow:$	$rA(P) = \mathbf{1}$
$Coll(P)$	$\leftrightarrow:$	$P \subseteq \iota$
$mult(P)$	$=:$	$P \cap P \circ \delta$
$isFunc(P)$	$\leftrightarrow:$	$mult(P) = \emptyset$
$Skolem(P, Q, R)$	$\Theta:$	[$R =: Q$, $R \subseteq P$, $isFunc(R)$, $rA(R) = rA(P)$]
$areQProj(L, R)$	$\Theta:$	[$isFunc(R)$, $isFunc(L)$, $L \rightsquigarrow \circ R = \mathbf{1}$]

Predicates λ , ϱ which meet the abstract properties $areQProj(\lambda, \varrho)$ are called *conjugated (quasi-) projections* and are the key for translating each sentence of ‘strong’ first-order theories into an equivalent equation of map calculus

Maddux' embedding of quantificational logic into map calculus

$$\text{th}(L, R \parallel 1)$$

$$=: L$$

$$\text{th}(L, R \parallel i + 1)$$

$$=: R \circ \text{th}(L, R, i)$$

$$\text{sibs}(L, R \parallel [])$$

$$=: \mathbb{1}$$

$$\text{sibs}(L, R \parallel [v_i \overrightarrow{V}])$$

$$=: \text{th}(L, R, i) \circ \text{th}^\sim(L, R, i) \cap \text{sibs}(L, R, \overrightarrow{V})$$

$$\text{mXpr}(L, R \parallel p(v_i, v_j)) =: (\text{th}(L, R, i) \circ p \cap \text{th}(L, R, j)) \circ \mathbb{1}$$

$$\text{mXpr}(L, R \parallel \neg\varphi) =: \overline{\text{mXpr}}(L, R, \varphi)$$

$$\text{mXpr}(L, R \parallel \varphi \& \psi) =: \text{mXpr}(L, R, \varphi) \cap \text{mXpr}(L, R, \psi)$$

$$\text{mXpr}(L, R \parallel \exists \overrightarrow{V} \varphi) =: \text{sibs}(L, R, \text{freeVars}(\exists \overrightarrow{V} \varphi)) \circ \text{mXpr}(L, R, \varphi)$$

$$\text{Maddux}(L, R \parallel \chi)$$

$$\Theta: [\quad Tr(\chi) \leftrightarrow: \text{Maddux}(L, R, \chi) \quad]$$

$i, j = 1, 2, \dots$,

p map letter,

\overrightarrow{V} variable-list,

φ, ψ, χ first-order formulas

We assume $\text{areQProj}(L, R)$, $\text{Tot}(L)$, and $\text{Tot}(R)$

Case-study: A rather weak set theory (first-order spec.)

$$(E) \quad \forall v (v \in X \leftrightarrow v \in Y) \rightarrow X = Y$$

$$(N) \quad \exists z \forall v \neg v \in z$$

$$(W) \quad \exists w \forall v (v \in w \leftrightarrow (v \in X \vee v = Y))$$

$$(L) \quad \exists \ell \forall v (v \in \ell \leftrightarrow (v \in X \& \neg v = Y))$$

$$(R) \quad \exists r ((r \in X \vee r = X) \& \neg \exists v (v \in r \& v \in X))$$

Case-study: A rather weak set theory (spec. in map calculus)

$$\in := p_1$$

$$\ni := \in^\sim$$

$$\mathcal{F}(P) := \overline{P o \bar{\in} \cap \overline{\bar{P}^\sim o \in}} \quad \text{-- set-formation construct}$$

$$\text{Coll}(\mathcal{F}(\exists))$$

-- extensionality axiom (E)

$$\in = \mathbb{1} o (\in - \ni o \in)$$

-- regularity axiom (R)

$$\text{Skolem}((\exists \cup \iota) - \ni o \in, p_2, \text{arb})$$

-- conservative extension of language

$$\text{funcPart}(P) := P - P o \delta$$

$$\text{mix} := \exists o \exists \cap \exists o \bar{\exists}$$

$$\text{tot}(P) := P \Delta (\iota - \text{rA}(P))$$

$$\rho := (\exists \cap \overline{\text{mix} o \delta} o \in) o \lambda$$

$$\lambda := \text{funcPart}(\text{mix})$$

$$\in o \lambda = \mathbb{1}$$

-- axiom (NWL) of elementary sets

$$\text{Maddux}(\text{tot}(\lambda), \text{tot}(\rho), \text{setMaddux})$$

-- this brings in full first-order notation

Another case-study: Entity-Relationship modeling

The same definitional machinery which enabled us to express first-order set theory within map calculus, was exploited to obtain a

translator of ER-models into map calculus

(collaboration with Ernst-Erich Doberkat, Un. Dortmund)